

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,312	MICHEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Meek	2637	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jacob Meek.

(2) Peter Zura (Reg. # 48,196).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 January 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16, 30

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 16 and 30 of amended claims were found to have 101 problems and required changes in order to bring them into compliance. Discussed what was lacking and needed to be added in order to overcome 101 problems.

Mr. Zura furnished a faxed copy of proposed change on 1/13/06, which was reviewed and found to need additional changes to ensure that 101 criteria were met. Mr. Zura was contacted on 1/17/06 and required changes were outlined, which were furnished on 1/17/06 by fax and are incorporated by Examiner's Amendment..